Using Remote-Sensing Based River Typing to Predict Ecological Function and Ecosystem Services



Joseph E. Flotemersch¹, James H. Thorp², Bradley S. Williams², Martin C. Thoms³ (1) U.S. EPA/ORD, (2) University of Kansas, (3) University of Canberra



Functional Process Zones (FPZs)

The Riverine Ecosystem Synthesis (RES) (Thorp et al. 2008) emphasizes FPZs, which are distinct hydrogeomorphic patches that exist between the drainage basin and river reach scales (Fig 1). FPZs are large segments of river with similar hydrogeomorphic characteristics and can be identified using multivariate statistical analyses that take into account the hydrogeomorphic forces that shape riverine ecosystems. The variables used in the multivariate analyses span multiple spatial scales and include long term precipitation data, underlying geology, and both the composition and morphology of the river valley and river channel (Fig. 2, Table 1).



Multidimensional Scaling, Interpretation, and Mapping

The validity of the groups identified by the UPGMA analysis is then assessed with the Semi-Strong Hybrid Multidimensional Scaling method of Belbin (1991). Sites are ordinated in a space generated by the hydrogeomorphic variables, and the ordination and grouping of sites are assessed to determine if they are significantly different from random. Once the groups are statistically validated, FPZs are interpreted based on their geomorphic character and FPZ maps are then generated for field use (Fig 4).



Figure 1. Hierarchally scaled patches in riverine

Figure 2. Example of data layers and 5-km river sample segments projected in ArcGIS 9.2

Table 1. Spatial scale, type, and data source for the 14 hydrogeomorphic variables used in the classification procedure

Scale	Variable	Data Source
	Mean Annual Precipitation	30 yr mean precipitation data (National Climatic Data Center)
ment		USGS State Geologic Maps



Figure 4. An example FPZ map from the Condamine **River basins in Australia (courtesy of Martin Thoms of** the University of Canberra, Australia)

ecosystems with FPZs nested between the drainage basin and reach scales (from Thorp et al. 2008).

Classification Procedure

The multivariate FPZ classification procedure outlined by Thorp et al. (2008) and Thoms et al. (in review) requires the measurement of 14 hydrogeomorphic variables (Table 1) in 5-km sample segments (Fig. 2) along the entire course of a river. The appropriate data layers are first projected in ArcGIS 9.3 (Fig 2) and measurements are made using a combination of standard tools in ArcMap and automated scripts. The scripts enable the rapid extraction of data from Digital Elevation Models (DEMs) or LIDAR and were written specifically for this classification procedure.

A sample segment by variable data base is then constructed in Microsoft Access. This serves as the data matrix used by the PATN 3.1 statistical software package for the UPGMA and Semi-Strong Hybrid multidimensional Scaling analyses.

Geology

뉤

Cat

Riv

Riv

Valley Width

10m resolution DEMs from the National **Valley Floor Elevation Dataset** Width

Valley Side **Slopes**

Down Valley Slope

Ratio of Valley Width to **Valley Floor** Width

Wavelength of the **Channel Belt**

Sinuosity of the **Channel Belt**

Width of the **Channel Belt**

Sinuosity of the River Channel

> Number of Channels





) Image**Connect**



An increasing trend in applied river science is to evaluate rivers by the ecosystem services and benefits they provide. Developing a stronger linkage between hydrologic and geomorphic attributes and ecosystem services improves the ability to restore, conserve, and manage vital and increasingly threatened riverine landscapes. Functional Process Zones (FPZs) occur at the valley-to-reach scale and represent a likely means to linking these systems across institutional, spatial, and temporal scales. Thus, FPZs hold strong potential as a management tool to characterize ecosystem services.

	Constricted	Meandering	Braided	Anastomosing	Leveed	Reservoir
Selected Hydrogeomorphic Attributes						
Shoreline complexity *	L	LM	Н	Н	L	Μ
Relative number of channels	L	L	Н	MH	L	L
Functional habitats within channels	L	LM	Μ	Н	L	LM
Channel/island permanence	Μ	Μ	L	Н	Μ	н
Floodscape size and connectivity with main channel	L	MH	М	Н	L	L
Ecosystem Benefits and Services						
Natural Ecosystem Benefits						
Biodiversity (species and trophic diversity)	L	Μ	L	Н	L	Μ

UPGMA Analysis

The Flexible-Unweighted Pair-Groups with Arithmetic Averages (UPGMA) method employs the Gower association measure (Belbin and McDonald 1993) and is used to identify groups of sample segments with similar hydrogeomorphic characteristics (i.e., FPZs influenced by similar geomorphic processes) (Fig 3).

Channel Planform

The second s	Contraction of the local division of the loc										
A 10-00 - 3-7 (II. Data Ta	**						_			
	Derlare D	eodia Pe	opie P E	Jects	Medals 1	Care I	Dev/S F	Not L A	ANG A	Min Massimum Pin	i minaing
Contraction (2.17)	H a Roy Fr				120		3.19	9.78	12	6.60 27755775.80 2.60 27755775.80	
Row Fu	sion D	endros	mam				10.00		20	C DO TOTTAL DO	1992
							1.00	6.44	the second	6 65 18640171 80	1.1
						F2		13.88	0	0.00 57448.00	
							45.90	1.76	1	6.00 37812817.00	12221
MARRIELEN"			_	_			12.00	7.66	10	0.00 3330050.00	
Depresaria		-					80.00	7.04	3.	0.00 19545792.00	10.00
Dangladesh		-				4	80.20	29.36	0.	6.00 8152929.80	
Albania			1000	- T		No.	22.00	2.55	4.	0.00 7735-00 80	
Acotratia		-				In Maria	NUMBER OF STREET	a Orderad	ingen Vingen		
1 Parate						100.000	SOP.	Second	0.0623		
Fartatos	0.42	0.21	0.00	1.00	1.00	Giologia	302				
Bellering	10.06	0.43	8.80	1.10	25.00	10000				a state of the second se	1.1
Deipiara	2.54	0.27	8.00	3.22	7.10					10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Galera	1.66	0.12	1.01	8.93					1210	- Borrety Per Cap	
Dener	0.40	0.38	8.14	8.00					100	A Date - De Era	
Bister	9.53	9.39	0.02	10.00					1.1	1.24	1.1
Bolivia	0.64	0.15	0.01	8.62		132900	12.2		1.45	Person Per Dates	
Depain and	0.53	0.25	0.0	8.00		Tap 5	and the second				4
terid products	0.67	3.00			10.00	122 523	United a	-			1
Depension	10.55	0.0			10.00	1 2 2 2 3 3	Cuscher	Cipta 1			
Comments of	19.24	19.94					Sec. Sec.				
	_	_	_		-				-		
Mananam	0.00	0.04	1.00	1.00	1.00		0.20	0.04	0.64		
Frankrister	3.00	1.05	3.89	7.00	208.80	108.00	12.20	22.00	21.54		
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		10 C	1945	100 C			1.1		

Figure 3. Example of a UPGMA analysis in PATN 3.1. The dendogram generated indicates the relationships between groups of 5-km sample segments with similar hydrogeomorphic characteristics.

	0	/					
Primary and secondary productivity		L	Μ	Μ	Н	L	Н
Nutrient cycling and carbon sequestration		L	LM	LM	Н	L	Н
Water storage		L	LM	L	Н	L	Н
Sediment storage		L	Μ	Μ	Н	L	Н

H

H H

Anthropogenic Services						
Food and fiber production **	L	Μ	L	Н	L	Μ
Vater withdrawal potential	MH	Μ	L	Μ	Н	Н
Recreational uses	LM	LM	L	Н	L	Н
Disturbance and natural hazard mitigation	L	Μ	L	Н	Н	Н
Alaintenance and catastrophic risk of failure	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Μ	Н
ransportation	Н	Μ	L	Μ	н	Н

* Ratio of shoreline length to downstream length ** Does not count agricultural crop production

Proportion of native biota (prior to any change in FPZ) H

L = Low
M = Medium
H = High

 Table 1: Examples of relationships between
hydrogeomorphic structure and ecosystem services for six contrasting types of natural and artificial FPZs. All predicted relationships are based on intra-basin comparisons only. This table is not meant to be definitive for services, and it was developed for generalized rivers.